Performance Measurement and Analysis Tools for Cray XE/XK Systems

Heidi Poxon Cray Inc. **Topics**



Reveal

S

S.

CrayPat-lite

S

CrayPat-lite Goals

 Provide automatic application performance statistics at the end of a job

- Focus is to offer a simplified interface to basic application performance information for users not familiar with the Cray performance tools and perhaps new to application performance analysis
- Gives sites the option to enable/disable application performance data collection for all users for a period of time
- Keep traditional or "classic" perftools working the same as before
- Provide a simple way to transition from perftools-lite to perftools to encourage further tool use for performance analysis



Steps to Using CrayPat-lite Access light version of performance tools software > module load perftools-lite **Build program** > make a.out (instrumented program) Run program (no modification to batch script) aprun a.out Condensed report to stdout a.out*.rpt (same as stdout) a.out*.ap2

MPICH RANK XXX files

Benefits of CrayPat-lite

- Program is automatically relinked to add instrumentation in a.out (pat_build step done for the user)
- .o files are automatically preserved
- No modifications are needed to a batch script to run instrumented binary, since original binary is replaced with instrumented version
- pat_report is automatically run before job exits
- Performance statistics are issued to stdout
- User can use "classic" CrayPat for more in-depth performance investigation

Performance Statistics Available

- Set of predefined experiments, enabled with the CRAYPAT_LITE environment variable
 - Sample_profile
 - Event_profile
 - GPU

Job information

- Number of MPI ranks, ranks per node, number of threads
- Wallclock
- High memory water mark
- Aggregate MFLOPS (CPU only)
- Profile of top time consuming routines with load balance
- Observations
- Instructions on how to get more information

Sample Output – LAMMPS

***** # # # **CrayPat-lite Performance Statistics** # # # ******* CrayPat/X: Version 6.1.0.10863 Revision 10863 (xf 10658) 02/13/13 15:23:08 Number of PEs (MPI ranks): 64 Numbers of PEs per Node: 32 PEs on each of 2 Nodes Numbers of Threads per PE: 1 Number of Cores per Socket: 16 Execution start time: Fri Feb 15 14:42:24 2013 System name and speed: mork 2100 MHz Wall Clock Time: 122.608994 secs High Memory: 45.70 MBytes

MFLOPS (aggregate): 15763.16 M/sec

9

Sample Output (cont'd)

Table 1: Profile by Function Group and Function (top 7 functions shown)

| 1 | Time% | Time | Imb. | Imb. | Calls | Group |
|----|--------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|
| | | | Time | Time% | | Function |
| | ĺ | | Ì | i i | | PE=HIDE |
| | | | | | | • |
| | 100.0% | 101.96142 | 3 - | - | 5315211.9 | Total |
| Ŀ | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| i. | 92.5% | 94.2674 | 51 | | 5272245.9 | USER |
| i. | | · | | | · | , |
| İ | । 75.8% | 77.248 | 585 2.356 | 249 3.0% | 5 1001. | 0 LAMMPS_NS::PairLJCut::compute |
| Ì | 6.5% | 6.644 | 545 0.105 | 246 1.68 | 5 51.0 | 0 LAMMPS_NS::Neighbor::half_bin_newton |
| ĺ | 4.1% | 4.131 | 842 0.634 | 032 13.5% | i 1.0 | 0 LAMMPS_NS::Verlet::run |
| ĺ | 3.8% | 3.841 | 349 1.241 | 434 24.88 | 5262868. | 9 LAMMPS_NS::Pair::ev_tally |
| İ | 1.3% | 1.288 | 463 0.181 | 268 12.5% | i 1000. | LAMMPS_NS::FixNVE::final_integrate |
| L | ====== | | | | | |
| İ | 7.0% | 7.1109 | 31 | | 42637.0 | MPI |
| | | | | | | |
| İ | 4.8% | 4.851 | 309 3.371 | 093 41.6% | 12267. | 0 MPI_Send |
| I | 1.5% | 1.536 | 106 2.592 | 504 63.88 | 12267. | 0 MPI_Wait |
| i | - | - | - | - | - | |

Sample Output (cont'd)

MPI Grid Detection:

There appears to be point-to-point MPI communication in a 4 X 2 X 8 grid pattern. The execution time spent in MPI functions might be reduced with a rank order that maximizes communication between ranks on the same node. The effect of several rank orders is estimated below.

A file named MPICH_RANK_ORDER.Grid was generated along with this report and contains usage instructions and the Hilbert rank order from the following table.

| Rank Order | On-Node Bytes/PE | On-Node Bytes/PE% of Total Bytes/PE | MPICH_RANK_REORDER_METHOD |
|---------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Hilbert | 5.533e+10 | 90.66% | 3 |
| Fold | 4.907e+10 | 80.42% | 2 |
| SMP | 4.883e+10 | 80.02% | 1 |
| RoundRobin | 3.740e+10 | 61.28% | 0 |





Porting to a Hybrid or Many-core System

When to Move to a Hybrid Programming Model

When code is network bound

- Look at collective time, excluding sync time: this goes up as network becomes a problem
- Look at point-to-point wait times: if these go up, network may be a problem

When MPI starts leveling off

- Too much memory used, even if on-node shared communication is available
- As the number of MPI ranks increases, more off-node communication can result, creating a network injection issue
- When contention of shared resources increases
- When you want to exploit heterogeneous nodes

Cray performance tools and Reveal can help

Tools needed to Create Hybrid Codes

- A good Programming Environment closes the gap between peak performance and possible performance
 - A lot more than just a compiler
- Specific tools needed for identifying the parallelism in an application
 - Fine-grained profiling: loop level rather than routine
 - Profiling and character looping structures in a complex application
 - Scoping tools for investigating parallelisability of high-level looping structures
 - Tools for maintaining performance-portable applications
 - Application developers want to develop a single core that can run efficiently on multi-core nodes with or without an accelerator

WARNING!!!

- Nothing comes for free, nothing is automatic
 - Hybridization of an application is difficult
 - Efficient code requires interaction with the compiler to generate
 - High level OpenMP structures
 - Low level vectorization of major computational areas
- Performance is also dependent upon the location of the data
 - CPU: NUMA, first-touch
 - Accelerator: resident or data-sloshing
- Software such as Cray's Hybrid Programming Environment provides tools to help, but cannot replace the developer's inside knowledge

Optimizations for Multi-core Systems

- Reduce number of MPI ranks per node
- Add parallelism to MPI ranks to take advantage of cores within a node while minimizing network injection contention
- Maximize on-node communication between MPI ranks
- Relieve on-node shared resource contention by pairing threads or processes that perform different work (for example computation with off-node communication) on the same node

• Accelerate work intensive parallel loops

Approach to Adding Parallelism

1. Identify possible accelerator kernels

- Determine where to add additional levels of parallelism
 - Assumes MPI application is functioning correctly on X86
 - Find top serial work-intensive loops (perftools + CCE loop work estimates)

2. Perform parallel analysis, scoping and vectorization

- Split loop work among threads
 - Do parallel analysis and restructuring on targeted high level loops
 - Use CCE loopmark feedback, Reveal loopmark and source browsing

3. Move to OpenMP and then to OpenACC

- Add parallel directives and acceleration extensions
 - Insert OpenMP directives (Reveal scoping assistance)
 - Run on X86 to verify application and check for performance improvements
 - Convert desired OpenMP directives to OpenACC

4. Analyze performance from optimizations

Step 1 - Identify possible accelerator kernels

• Helps identify high-level serial loops to parallelize

- Based on runtime analysis, approximates how much work exists within a loop
- Provides min, max and average trip counts that can be used to approximate work and help carve up loop on GPU

Collecting Loop Work Estimates

- Load PrgEnv-cray module
- Load perftools module
- Compile AND link with –h profile_generate
- Instrument binary for tracing
 - pat_build –w my_program
- Run application
- Create report with loop statistics
 - pat_report my_program.xf > loops_report

Example Report – Inclusive Loop Time

| Table 2: Loop Stats by Function (from -hprofile_generate) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|-------|-------|---|-------|----------------------|--|--|
| Loop | | Loop | Loop | Loop | | Loop | Function=/.LOOP[.] | | |
| Incl | | Hit | Trips | Trips | | Trips | PE=HIDE | | |
| Time | | I | Avg | Min | | Max | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | |
| | 8.995914 | 100 | 25 | | 0 | 25 | sweepyLOOP.1.li.33 | | |
| | 8.995604 | 2500 | 25 | | 0 | 25 | sweepyLOOP.2.li.34 | | |
| | 8.894750 | 50 | 25 | | 0 | 25 | sweepzLOOP.05.li.49 | | |
| | 8.894637 | 1250 | 25 | | 0 | 25 | sweepzLOOP.06.li.50 | | |
| | 4.420629 | 50 | 25 | | 0 | 25 | sweepx2LOOP.1.li.29 | | |
| | 4.420536 | 1250 | 25 | | 0 | 25 | sweepx2LOOP.2.li.30 | | |
| | 4.387534 | 50 | 25 | | 0 | 25 | sweepx1LOOP.1.li.29 | | |
| | 4.387457 | 1250 | 25 | | 0 | 25 | sweepx1LOOP.2.li.30 | | |
| | 2.523214 | 187500 | 107 | | 0 | 107 | riemannLOOP.2.li.63 | | |
| | 1.541299 | 20062500 | 12 | | 0 | 12 | riemannLOOP.3.li.64 | | |
| | 0.863656 | 1687500 | 104 | | 0 | 108 | parabolaLOOP.6.li.67 | | |

Step 2 - Perform parallel analysis, scoping and vectorization R **Step 3 - Move to OpenMP and then to OpenACC**



Reveal

New code analysis and restructuring assistant...

 Uses both the performance toolset and CCE's program library functionality to provide static and runtime analysis information

Key Features

- Annotated source code with compiler optimization information
 - Feedback on critical dependencies that prevent optimizations
- Scoping analysis
 - Identify, shared, private and ambiguous arrays
 - Allow user to privatize ambiguous arrays
 - Allow user to override dependency analysis
- Source code navigation based on performance data collected through CrayPat

How to Use

- Optionally create loop statistics using the Cray performance tools to determine which loops have the most work
- Compile your application with Cray CCE to generate a program library
 - > ftn -h pl=vhone.pl -c file1.f90

Run reveal

- Compiler information only:
 - > reveal vhone.pl
- Compiler + loop work estimates
 - > reveal vhone.pl vhone_loops.ap2

Reveal with Loop Work Estimates



Visualize Loopmark with Performance Information



NCSA Workshop, February 2013

Visualize CCE's Loopmark with Performance Profile (2)



Cray Inc.

View Pseudo Code for Inlined Functions



Scoping Assistance – Review Scoping Results



Scoping Assistance – User Resolves Issues



Scoping Assistance – Generate Directive



Questions ?

RAY